

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF FATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
PO. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vasginia, 22313-1450
www.uspto-e.w.

APPLICATION NO.	FIGURG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/066,492	01/30/2002	Kie-Youn Jeong	11036-010-999	4510
2434) 7	590 05/13/2003			
Pennie & Edmonds, LLP 3300 Hillview Avenue Palo Alto, CA 94304			FXAMINER	
			NUTTER, NATHAN M	
			ART USET	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	5
			DATE MAILED: 05/13/2003	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/066,492	JEONG ET AL.
Office	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Nathan M. Nutter	1711
The MAII Period for Reply	LING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover she	eet with the correspondence address
THE MAILING C - Extensions of time r after SIX (6) MONT - If the period for repl - If NO period for repl - Failure to reply withi - Any reply received b		TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ration. s, a reply within the statutory minimum period will apply and will expire SIX (6) y statute, cause the application to become	may a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Respons	ive to communication(s) filed o	n	
2a) This action	on is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.	
closed in	accordance with the practice i	•	In matters, prosecution as to the merits is 65 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Clai	s <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the appli	ication	
	above claim(s) is/are w		า
	1,2,6-13,16 and 18-20 is/are all		1.
	8-5,14,15 and 17 is/are rejected		
	is/are objected to.	••	
	are subject to restriction	and/or election requiremen	ıt.
Application Papers		•	
9) The specifi	cation is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
10) The drawin	g(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant	may not request that any objectio	n to the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The propos	sed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved by	disapproved by the Examiner.
	ed, corrected drawings are required	• •	
12) The oath o	r declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U	.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
	dgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□] Some * c) ☐ None of:		
	tified copies of the priority docu		
	tified copies of the priority docu		
	pies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation ached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	
14) Acknowledg	ment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
	anslation of the foreign languagement is made of a claim for do	· · ·	
Attachment(s)			
	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	48) 5) 🗌 Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:
Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Of	fice Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 5

Application/Control Number: 10/066,492

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3-5, 14, 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 3 and 14 recite "said polypropylene is an organic peroxide (sic) prepared by extruder", which phrase is meaningless in the English language.

Claims 4 and 15 recite for SEBS copolymer, "styrene-ethylene-butyrene (sic)-styrene", which is not consistent terminology.

Regarding claims 5 and 17, the term "type" renders the claims indefinite because the claims include elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "type"), thereby rendering the scope of the claims unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claims 1, 2, 6-13, 16 and 18-20 are deemed to contain allowable subject matter.

The references to Lee et al and Jeong et al, newly cited of interest, teach the manufacture of similar resin blends as herein claimed. Neither reference teaches or fairly suggests the employment of a polymer of tetrafluoroethylene, as recited and claimed. Note the Abstracts of either reference. As such, neither document is deemed to present a bar to the patentability of the instant claims.

Art Unit: 1711

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan M. Nutter whose telephone number is 703-308-2443. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Nathan M. Nutter Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711

nmn May 9, 2003